

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/817,441	04/02/2004	Osamu Miyazawa	9319A-000753	1655	
27572 75	90 05/30/2006		EXAMINER		
HARNESS, D	ICKEY & PIERCE, P.L	ADDISON, KAREN B			
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	11223, 1111 10202		2834		
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/30/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del>.</del>		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/817,44	1	MIYAZAWA, OSAMU				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Karen B. A	ddison	2834				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with th	e correspondence	address			
WHIC - Externafter - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE OF	G DATE OF TH FR 1.136(a). In no eve n. eriod will apply and wil statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICAT nt, however, may a reply by sexpire SIX (6) MONTHS for cation to become ABANDO	ION.  e timely filed  from the mailing date of this  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				: : :				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	2/6/06.		:				
'=		This action is no	on-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims			3				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applica	ition		÷ :	•			
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) 14-18 and 20 is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3.7,8,10-13 and 19</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>4-6 and 9</u> is/are objected to.			÷				
· —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	nd/or election re	auirement.					
				3				
Applicati	on Papers			: :				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	•		:				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. No	te the attached Off	ice Action or form F	PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			<u> </u>				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority docume	nts have been rece	eived in this Nation	al Stage			
	application from the International Bu	ıreau (PCT Rule	: 17.2(a)).					
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certif	ied copies not rece	ived.				
				-				
Attachmen	t(s)			:				
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	•	4) Interview Summ	arv (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		Paper No(s)/Mai	il Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date	3/08)	5) Notice of Information Other:	al Patent Application (P	TO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Art Unit: 2834

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim1-3,7,8,10-13 and 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)as being unpatentable over Zumeris (421) in view of Vishnevsky and combined with Miyazawa (WO 38309-cited by applicant).

Zumeris, figures and 9 teaches an operating apparatus comprising an element #114 frame #115 he contacted element which is stationary with respect to the frame #102 the vibrating element #109, 111 the vibrating element being fixedly mounted on the element in a state where the contact portion abuts the contacted element, the vibrating element receives rotation force from the contacted element when the vibrating element vibrates so that the element is rotated together with the vibrating element by means of the reaction force. This structure is described as a bearing in which and inner race moves relative an outer race thus the designation of driven element and drive element is not distinct. That is, it is not explicitly clear whether the inner race or outer race could be considered the Rotor and which could be considered to be the stator. Vishnevsky, compare for example figure 1 in which the piezoelectric vibrating element is part of the rotor

Art Unit: 2834

with for example figure 17 which teaches the piezoelectric vibrator as part of the stator. Thus, regardless of Zumeris ambiguity would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art from the explicit teaching of Vishnevsky that #102 could be fixed state or while#104 could rotate. Zumeris, figures 2 and 18 does not explicitly use laminated vibrating element comprising a reinforcing plate with a contact portion sandwiched between two piezoelectric elements. However, this specific construction is a well-known improvement to the basic Zumeris vibrator note specifically figures 6,8,9,21 and 29 of Miyazawa. The laminated construction allows a higher power input and a larger mechanical output as well as better wear protection and a mechanically stronger vibrator. Thus, for at least these reasons it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the vibrator construction of Miyazawa in the device of Zumeris.

## Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior art fails to show, a drive element having a side surface and the frame has an inner wall surface which is opposite to the side surface of the driven element through a gap therebetween, and the contacted element and the vibrating element are arrange within the gap. Prior art also fails to show, the drive element having a bottom portion, and the vibrating element is provide on the bottom portion of the driven element. Prior art also fails to show the contact element is a protruding portion on the inner surface of the frame wall.

Claims 14-18 and 20 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2834

Prior art fails to show a decelerating mechanism provide between the contacted element and the frame; wherein the vibrating element transmits power to the driven element via the contacted element and the decelerating mechanism to rotate the driven element together with the vibrating element.

Further cited of interest are Slutskiy, Zumeris(759), Gaynor, Honda and Zumeris(140).

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/6/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response, the applicant argument that Zumeris fails to disclose the bearing and the piezoelectric motors being rotated together is noted. However, fig.8 and fig.9 clearly show an operating apparatus comprising an element(114), a frame (115), a contact element which is stationary with respect to the frame(102),a vibrating element(109 and111) fixedly mounted on the element in a state where the contact portion abuts the contacted element, the vibrating element receives the rotation force from the contact element when, the vibrate element receives rotation force from the contacted element when the vibrating element vibrates so, that the element is rotated together with the vibrating element by means of reaction force.

In response to the applicant argument Vishnevsky and Miyazawa fails to cure the deficiency of Zumeris is noted. However, as state in the above office action that Vishnevsky #102 could be fixed (stator) while #104 could rotate and Miyazawa discloses the use of a laminated vibrating element in a operating apparatus wherein, the

Art Unit: 2834

laminated construction allows a higher power input and larger mechanical out put as well as better wear protection and a mechanically stronger vibrator.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen B. Addison whose telephone number is 571-272-2017. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2834

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KBA 5/15/06

DARREN SCHUBERG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800